

Executive Committee Meeting

September 6, 2022

12:30 – 1:20 pm

Via Zoom (link at end of agenda)

This meeting will be conducted virtually pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e)(1)(A) and the Memorandum from Napa County Executive Officer Minh C. Tran and Public Health Officer Karen Relucio, M.D., dated September 27, 2021, regarding Recommendation for Continued Remote Attendance at Brown Act meetings.

Minutes

Present: Dr. Eileene Tejada, Dr. Jim McGowan, Dr. Seth Anderson, Maria Biddenback, Naomi Chianese, Robert Miller, Christine Pruitt, John Kincheloe

1. **Welcome** (1 min)

2. **Adoption of Agenda** (1 min)

M/S/C Yes: 6

3. **Approval of Minutes: 5/3/22** (1 min)

M/S/C Yes: 5

4. **Public Comment** (1 min)

5. **Announcements** (1 min)

6. **Action Items** (15 min)

6.1 Acknowledge that AB 361 Conditions have been met- Tejada This item has been placed on the agenda to allow the Academic Senate to acknowledge that the conditions for holding AB 361 meetings have been met by the District. Napa County public health officials have recommended measures to promote social distancing; thus, pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e)(1)(A) and the Memorandum from Napa County Executive Officer Minh C. Tran and Public Health Officer Karen Relucio, M.D., dated September 27, 2021 regarding Recommendation for Continued Remote Attendance at Brown Act meetings, this meeting is being conducted electronically. The Senate will review these conditions every 30 days.

- Can we list some action items on future agendas as consent items?

M/S/C Yes: 7

6.2 Faculty Representation on Academic Affairs Council- Tejada Recommend to the Academic Senate in Business session the number of Academic Senate representatives to be on the Academic Affairs Council.

- Sara Parker brought up previously that she wanted a senate representative on the council.

- Bob Harris reopened the discussion about having a senate representative on the council because he wants to make sure the senate is not overlooked.
- Proposal: add AS president, 1st VP, 2nd VP, and curriculum chair on the council
- In the past, it was helpful to have people from different divisions and areas on the council. There will be a new configuration now that includes program coordinators.
- We need more faculty representation on the council.
- Senate reps would not be representing their division areas; they would be there to represent the senate.
- Strongly advocate for curriculum co-chair because in the past the council was discussing curriculum matters without going to the committee.
- Union should negotiate compensation for this additional work.

M/S/C Yes: 6

7. Discussion Items (30 min)

7.1 Debrief of Collegiality In Action (CIA) Visit- Tejada

Discuss the CIA Visit training and insights.

- The definition of collegial consultation was crystalized in the meeting for people who were not clear on it. We are only as strong as our weakest link when it comes to consultation. We need to ensure everyone has this training in the future (BOT, admin, faculty, classified).
- Whether people accept the legal definitions, they need to abide by them.
- It seemed like administrators were receptive to what was being said.
- Faculty were disappointed we didn't get a clear understanding of the enforcement mechanisms. What happens if a BOT purposely violates Title 5?
- Visitors recognized how much trouble we are in over fiscal matters and shared governance.
- What does the academic senate do when these kinds of problems arise? Would the league even listen?
- Faculty are concerned that an accreditation probation or show cause will further erode our enrollment.
- What are our next steps? What if the BOT continues down this path? How do we function as a senate?
- Faculty wanted more concrete planning and direction from the CIA visit.
- It needs to be very clear who the BOT designee is that does collegial consultation (the college president) with the academic senate and that nobody else is confused about playing that role. We would like that in writing.
- Is anyone else in the same situation as we are with a BOT not following Title 5? There are 5 other colleges.
- It's important that we acknowledge the role of the AS president in appointing people and agendaing items.

- We have an obligation to work on policy whether the BOT ignores it or not.
- Do we want a resolution that says what we are going to do between now and June?
- We should lead out as another example of us trying to move forward. FBC would be a good place to have BP/AP work get started.
- AS President and VP should discuss which BP/APs to work on.
- August board meeting had an action item to rescind the entire board manual on the agenda. That is not on the September meeting. We hope that is a sign of progress.

7.2 Academic Senate Priorities for Faculty Survey- Tejada

Discuss Academic Senate priorities for this academic year.

- What happened to our priorities from last year?
- Letter to the community expressing our appreciation for our students.
- DE policies
- VP hiring
- Administrative Eval of tenured faculty
- What model does the AS want for guided pathways recommendations?
- Any documents that need to go on an Exec Committee agenda should always be sent to the AS President and cc Liz.

8. Future Items

9. Adjournment

- **M/S/C** Yes: 5