



Academic Senate Business Meeting

Minutes for May 17, 2011

12:30-1:20, Room 860

Members Present: Dave Angelovich, Amanda Badgett, Steve Balassi, Lauralyn Bauer, Glen Bell, Andrea Bewick, Stephanie Burns, Dianna Chiabotti, Dan Clemens, Michael Conroy, Emily Cosby, John Dotta, David Ellingson, Sheryl Fernandez, Stephanie Grohs, Ann Gross, Alejandro Guerrero, Julie Hall, Fain Hancock, Jose Hurtado, Barbara Kraig, Sherry Lohse, Tia Madison, Rob Miller, Lynda Monger, Bonnie Moore, Denise Rosselli, Mary Salceda-Nunez, Marci Sanchez, Debbie Saunders, Mary Shea, Erik Shearer, Eileene Tejada, Randy Villa, Maria Villagomez, Nadine Wade-Gravett, Eve-Anne Wilkes, Lisa Yanover.

Guests: Ben Quesada, Faye Smyle.

- 1.0 Welcome and Call to Order -12:30 p.m.
- 2.0 General Announcements –
-None.
- 3.0 Public Comment-
-Glen Bell commended Erik Shearer on his presentation to the Board of Trustees.
- 4.0 Adoption of Agenda –msp (D. Chiabotti)
-item 7.1 moved before action items.
- 5.0 Information Items
 - 5.1 ASCCC Technical Assistance Workshop Report (E. Shearer)
 - Workshop was presented to all constituent groups and discussed the history of participatory governance in community colleges. The responsibilities of each group were presented as outlined by the Ed Code. Faculty and Chairs are encouraged to read sections D-11 of the BOT policy manual. Faculty also need to follow developments and know the budget and planning process. All policies are available on the NVC website.
 - The power point slides used in the presentation are available on the Academic Senate website.
 - Faculty continues to question if BOT understands that their concerns are not budget driven and primarily process-related.
 - The resolution presented is now public record indicating that faculty request to be involved in the evaluation of the president.
 - The purpose of the workshop was to provide all constituent groups with the same information and ideas on how to improve/address shared governance processes.
 - 5.2 BOT Meeting Report (E. Shearer)
 - Thank you to all who participated at the BOT meeting. Faculty are encouraged to work with constituent groups.
- 6.0 Discussion Items
 - 6.1 Strategic Enrollment Management (L. Bauer) –previously item 7.1
 - Lauralyn Bauer is the co-chair of the SEM committee. She discussed committee goals to research all



aspects of college processes from the student's first point entry through to student achievement. This student perspective will consider outreach, enrollment, retention, persistence, and goal achievement. SEM is a district committee. The outline and goals of the committee, as well as a statewide Senate paper are available to faculty via email and posted on the SEM website.

-Process looks at institutional barriers created that inhibit student's success. The current task forces are creating "Is" maps that will develop into "Should" maps. From the "Should" map an implementation plan will be developed.

-NVC SEM overview draft is posted on the Senate website and will be linked to the college webpage.

-SEM has administrative, faculty, classified, and student participation. B. Malmgren will be in charge of pre-enrollment, O. DeHaro will administer enrollment, and S. Nelson will administer retention task forces.

Faculty questions and concerns:

-Should SEM be a subcommittee of Planning?

-Should the committee be a shared governance committee?

-Committee needs to have strong faculty and faculty leadership involvement.

-What is the difference between PEP and SEM? PEP does not look at the whole process.

-msp to extend dialogue (D. Chiabotti)

-Questions about how input is developed and information is gathered.

-Planning and Budget are shared governance committees. It makes sense that SEM would be as well.

-Work cannot go forward without faculty involvement.

-Additional committee added to faculty responsibilities without additional compensation. Are we duplicating efforts?

7.0 Action Items

7.1 Endorsement of SEM District Committee and Representation

-msp (D. Chiabotti) to divide the question into two parts: the status of the committee and the membership makeup.

-msp to recommend SEM as subcommittee of Planning failed.

-msp (D. Chiabotti) to include the following membership:

-1 Senate Officer

-Curriculum Chair

-Academic Standards and Practices Chair

-Learning Outcomes Assessment Chair

-Basic Skills Initiative designee

7.2 Endorsement of Committee Assignments

-msp (D. Ellingson) with clarification that BCS is no longer a standing division, but a part of the CTE division.

8.0 Reports- No reports submitted for this special meeting.

9.0 Meeting adjourned 1:30 p.m. (msp A. Gross)

Academic Standards & Practices

Duffey, J
Gonzalez, R
Grohs, S
Guerrero, A
Wachsmuth, J

Basic Skills Initiative

Conroy, M
Fernandez, S
Gillis, C
Lohse, S
Yanover, L

Curriculum

Badgett, A
Derby, M
Ehlen, D
Gianvecchio, M
King, J
McCann, K
Monger, L
Villagomez, M
Amato, J
BCS Rep - TBD

Faculty Standards & Practices

Bruggeman, R
Clemens, D
Freschi, B
Geiger, D
Sanchez, M

Learning Outcomes Assessment

Bewick, A
Burns, S
Castro, A
Moore, A
Tejada, E

Professional Development

Ankenmann, J
Dotta, J
Kell, G
Orozco, R
Zyskowski, C

Student Standards & Practices

Balassi, S
Hobbs, M
Hopperstad, B
Luikart, C
Whitmer, J

Scholarship

Ball, S
Hancock, F
Salceda-Nunez, M
Stickmon, J
Van Deusen, D

Social

Avila, B
Quinlan, F

SEM

Chiabotti, D
4 - TBD

Budget

Angelovich, D
Fawl, S
Kling, C
McCann, S
Millay, R
Rose, S
Skinner, A

Planning

Ball, S
Biddenback, M
Carlin, J
Ellingson, D
Krebs, S
Shea, M
Shearer, E

Facilities

Buckley, S
Cosby, E
Della Valle, R
Dow, M
Hurtado, J

Inclusivity

Madison, T

DITC

Bynum, S
