

Prioritization Rubric for 2024-2025 Planning & Resource Allocation Cycle
(to be used to assess Strategic Initiatives submitted through the annual planning process)

Criteria	Criteria Considerations	Assessed via Template Column(s)	5	3	1	Score
Strategic Impact	Alignment between proposed initiative and institutional planning priorities for 2024-2025	A & B	Strong connection between proposed initiative and institutional priorities	Clear connection between proposed initiative and institutional priorities	Unclear/No connection between proposed initiative and institutional priorities	
Collaboration	Number of programs, services, or/and units actively engaged in implementing the proposed initiative	C	Active engagement in implementing the proposed initiative is collective (across multiple units)	Active engagement in implementing the proposed initiative is shared (across units)	Active engagement in implementing the proposed initiative is limited (within unit(s))	
Promotion of Institutional Goals	Alignment between proposed initiative and guiding institutional plan(s)	D & E (with B)	Strong and direct linkage between proposed initiative and guiding institutional plan(s)	Clear linkage between proposed initiative and guiding institutional plan(s)	Unclear/No linkage between proposed initiative and guiding institutional plan(s)	
Promotion of Program-Level Goals (Plans)	Alignment between proposed initiative and existing program-level plan(s) (i.e., documented in program-level plan(s))	F & G (with B)	Strong and direct linkage between proposed initiative and program-level plan(s)	Clear linkage between proposed initiative and program-level plan(s)	Unclear/No linkage between proposed initiative and program-level plan(s)	
Impact of Initiative	Measurable outcomes/impacts of the proposed initiative (e.g., number of students impacted, anticipated improvements in performance, deliverables), including rationale and method of evaluation	H – J (with B)	Strong linkage between proposed initiative and identified outcomes (incl. rationale and method of evaluation)	Clear linkage between proposed initiative and identified outcomes (incl. rationale and method of evaluation)	Unclear/Indirect linkage between proposed initiative and identified outcomes (incl. rationale and method of evaluation)	
Timeliness	Alignment between proposed initiative and status of NVC in 2024-2025, including timing, urgency, what can be accomplished within one year	B – J	Proposed initiative and anticipated conditions are strongly aligned and mutually supportive	Proposed initiative aligns with anticipated conditions (foundations already in place)	Proposed initiative does not align with anticipated conditions (more foundational work is needed)	
Completion of Submission	All portions of template filled out, reflects a clear plan, including assessment of sequencing, costs associated with all resources needed	A – N	Submission is complete and clearly outlines plan for 2024-2025 (incl. costs)	Submission is complete , but plan for 2024-2025 is not clear (requires more detail)	Limited information about proposed initiative makes it difficult to assess	
Total Score:						

Ratings must be supported with objective data/evidence.

**All of the dimensions in the rubric are given equal weight. In the event that the application of the rubric results in a clustering of scores, the Planning Committee might consider assigning higher weight/importance to some dimension(s).*